

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/427	,070 04,	24/95	DICKINSC	N	R	PKR-2-363-	
THOMAS	33M1/0809 THOMAS E KOCOVSKY JR FAY SHARPE BEALL FAGAN MINNICH AND MCKEE 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE				CASIEXA	MIÑER	
FAY SH					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE	700			1	3305	5	
CLEVELA	AND OH 441:	14			DATE MAILED:	08/09/96	

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/427,070 Applicant(s)

Dickinson et al.

Group Art Unit Examiner 3305 **Brian Casler**

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/1/96 & 4/24/95	·
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.). 11; 453 U.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to resapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	No. 1. No
Of the above, claim(s)	
	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Recomplished Interest of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the preceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under the certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under the certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under the certified copies not received:	to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). e priority documents have been er ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	·
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/427,070

Art Unit: 3305

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following are exemplary of the errors found. The examiner requests applicant carefully review the claims for other similar errors and to make the necessary corrections.

In claim 15, lines 2-3, "said patient transport" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 16, lines 10-11, "said open gap" and in the last line "said gap" lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Serial Number: 08/427,070

Art Unit: 3305

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

3. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Matsutani in view of LeVeen.

Matsutani teaches everything including an MR system in which a patient bed with an opening under the support of the patient bed allowing the bed to be positioned over the lower pole of the MR system. Matsutani also teaches moving the bed in two dimensions to allow for proper placement of the patient with respect to the system.

Matsutani does not specifically show the bed having two supporting structures.

LeVeen teaches a imaging and therapy system in which a table having two supporting structures and an opening defined under the table allow the table to be positioned over the scanner.

It is well known in the art to have a bed or supporting platform with two supporting structures located at opposite ends of the bed to distribute the weight of the patient and provide sufficient support for the patient.

Therefor, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the device of Matsutani a bed with two supporting portions to distribute the weight of the patient and provide sufficient support for the patient as is known and taught by LeVeen.

-4-Serial Number: 08/427,070

Art Unit: 3305

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance 4. with 37 C.F.R. § 1.67(a) identifying this application by its Serial Number and filing date is required. See M.P.E.P. §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration has reviewed and understands the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration.

The present Oath/Declaration refers to "the additional claims" instead of --including the claims--.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-13 are allowable over the prior art of record. 5.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Brian Casler whose telephone number is (703) 308-3552.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Marvin Lateef, can be reached on (703) 308-3256. The fax phone number for Art Unit 3305 is (703) 308-0131 and for Group 3300 is 308-3590.

Serial Number: 08/427,070

Art Unit: 3305

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

BLC/blc Stuly 31, 1996

George Manuel

-5-